

COMP340-08B
Reasoning
About Programs

2. Propositional Interpretations

Robi Malik



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
TARI ROROHIKO

Some Well-Formed Formulas

$$\neg\neg\neg p$$

$$(p \wedge q)$$

$$(((p \wedge q) \oplus r) \wedge s)$$

$$((p \wedge q) \rightarrow (q \wedge p))$$

$$(p \wedge ((q \leftrightarrow r) \wedge \neg p))$$

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 4

Symbols of Propositional Logic

Definition:
 We denote by Σ the set of all propositional variables.

$$\Sigma = \{ p, p_1, p_2, \dots, q, r, \dots \}$$

Normally we assume:
 $true, false, \neg, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, (,) \notin \Sigma$

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 2

Omitting Brackets

- Binding priorities of operators in decreasing order:
 $\neg \wedge \vee \oplus \rightarrow \leftrightarrow$
- The operators $\wedge, \vee, \oplus,$ and \leftrightarrow are understood to bind to the left, i.e.,
 $p \wedge q \wedge r$ is the same as $(p \wedge q) \wedge r$
- The outermost pair of brackets can always be deleted.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 5

Definition of Well-Formed Formulas

Definition:
 Let Σ be a set of propositional variables. The set \mathbf{WFF}_Σ of well-formed formulas of propositional logic for Σ is defined recursively:

- For each $p \in \Sigma$, we have $p \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$.
- If $A \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$ and $B \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$, then also $true, false \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$,
 $\neg A, (A \wedge B), (A \vee B), (A \oplus B), (A \rightarrow B), (A \leftrightarrow B) \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 3

Syntax and Semantics

Syntax
 The rules how formulas can be constructed.

- How well-formed formulas look like.

Semantics
 The meaning of formulas.

- How well-formed formulas are interpreted.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 6

Truth Tables for Formulas

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$\neg(p \wedge q)$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg(p \wedge q) \vee (p \rightarrow q)$
F	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
T	T	T	F	T	T

A particular assignment of truth values to propositional variables is an **interpretation**.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 7

Recursive Definition of I

$I(A \vee B) = \mathbf{T}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{T}$ or $I(B) = \mathbf{T}$
 $I(A \vee B) = \mathbf{F}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{F}$ and $I(B) = \mathbf{F}$
 $I(A \oplus B) = \mathbf{T}$ if $I(A) \neq I(B)$
 $I(A \oplus B) = \mathbf{F}$ if $I(A) = I(B)$
 $I(A \rightarrow B) = \mathbf{T}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{F}$ or $I(B) = \mathbf{T}$
 $I(A \rightarrow B) = \mathbf{F}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{T}$ and $I(B) = \mathbf{F}$
 $I(A \leftrightarrow B) = \mathbf{T}$ if $I(A) = I(B)$
 $I(A \leftrightarrow B) = \mathbf{F}$ if $I(A) \neq I(B)$

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 10

Interpretations

Definition:
 Let Σ be a set of propositional variables.
 An **interpretation** for Σ is a function
 $I: \Sigma \rightarrow \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$

Note
 I can be extended to a map
 $I: \mathbf{WFF}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 8

Finding the Logical Status of a Formula

1. Construct truth table
2. Check each line ...
 - All lines $\mathbf{T} \rightsquigarrow$ valid
 - All lines $\mathbf{F} \rightsquigarrow$ unsatisfiable
 - Otherwise \rightsquigarrow satisfiable but not valid

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 11

Recursive Definition of I

$I(p) = I(p)$ if $p \in \Sigma$
 $I(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$
 $I(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$
 $I(\neg A) = \mathbf{T}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{F}$
 $I(\neg A) = \mathbf{F}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{T}$
 $I(A \wedge B) = \mathbf{T}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{T}$ and $I(B) = \mathbf{T}$
 $I(A \wedge B) = \mathbf{F}$ if $I(A) = \mathbf{F}$ or $I(B) = \mathbf{F}$

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 9

Example

$\neg p \rightarrow q \wedge \neg r$

p	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg r$	$q \wedge \neg r$	$\neg p \rightarrow q \wedge \neg r$
F	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	T	T	F	F	F	T

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 12

Models

$\neg p \rightarrow q \wedge \neg r$

p	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg r$	$q \wedge \neg r$	$\neg p \rightarrow q \wedge \neg r$
F	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	F

I such that
 $I(p) = \mathbf{F}, I(q) = \mathbf{T}, I(r) = \mathbf{F}$
 is a **model** of the formula $\neg p \rightarrow q \wedge \neg r$.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 13

Logical Equivalence

Definition:
 Let $A, B \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$ be two formulas.
 A and B are said to be **logically equivalent**, if A and B have the same truth values for all possible interpretations, i.e., if $I(A) = I(B)$ for every interpretation $I: \Sigma \rightarrow \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 16

What is a Model?

Definition:
 Let $A \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$ be a formula.
 An interpretation $I: \Sigma \rightarrow \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is called a **model** for A if
 $I(A) = \mathbf{T}$.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 14

Checking Logical Equivalence

To check whether two formulas A and B are logically equivalent:

1. Construct truth tables for A and B .
2. If the truth values of A and B are the same in all rows then A and B are logically equivalent.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 17

Models and Logical Status

A formula A is ...

- **Valid**
if every interpretation is a model for A .
- **Satisfiable**
if A has a model.
- **Unsatisfiable**
if A has no model.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 15

Example

Checking whether:
 $p \leftrightarrow q$ is logically equivalent to $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$.

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
F	F				
F	T				
T	F				
T	T				

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 18

Logical Consequence

Definition:

Let $A, B \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$ be two formulas.
 B is said to be a **logical consequence** of A , if every model for A also is a model for B .

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 19

Example

Is $p \oplus q$ a logical consequence $p \vee q$?

p	q	$p \vee q$	$p \oplus q$
F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	T	F

$p \vee q$ is true,
but $p \oplus q$ is false.

$p \oplus q$ is **not** a logical consequence $p \vee q$.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 22

Checking Logical Consequence

To check whether B is a logical consequence of A :

1. Construct truth tables for A and B .
2. If every line showing the truth value **T** for A also shows the truth value **T** for B , then B is a logical consequence of A .
3. Lines showing the truth value **F** for A do not matter.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 20

Relationship to Validity

Let $A, B \in \mathbf{WFF}_\Sigma$ be two formulas.

- A and B are logically equivalent if and only if $A \leftrightarrow B$ is a **valid** formula.
- B is a logical consequence of A if and only if $A \rightarrow B$ is a **valid** formula.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 23

Example

Is $p \vee q$ a logical consequence $p \oplus q$?

p	q	$p \oplus q$	$p \vee q$
F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T

$p \oplus q$ is true,
so $p \vee q$ must also be true.

$p \oplus q$ is false,
so $p \vee q$ does not matter.

Thus, $p \vee q$ is a logical consequence $p \oplus q$.

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 21

Reading

Huth & Ryan:
Section 1.3–1.4.1
pp. 31–40

© THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO · TE WHARE WANANGA O WAIKATO · COMP340-08B Lecture 2 · Slide 24